



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,801 03/30/2004		03/30/2004	Andrew Jones	IP-024525	6031		
1726	7590	01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		PAPER COMPA	RINEHART, KENNETH				
6285 TRI-RI LOVELANI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,			3749			

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	47			
			12,801	JONES, ANDREW	0			
	Office Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit				
_		Kenn	eth B Rinehart	3749				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within the latutory period will apply or will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a re te statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MON te application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 March 2</i>	004					
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 8.9.11 and 12 is/are reject Claim(s) 10. 13. 14 is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn fron ed. to.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 20 Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	1 <u>04</u> is/are: a)⊠ a ection to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abeyan equired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have documents have of the priority doc onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Apounders have been Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen			, .					
1) 🔼 Notic 2) 🗌 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/04</u> .			formal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

generated real time (fig. 3, col. 7, lines 54-61).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Lautenschlager et al (5398623). Lautenschlager et al shows the steps of disposing a plurality of weight sensors in association with each of the at least first and second support members, said weight sensors being located at spaced apart locations along said support members, remotely from the grate (15, fig. 3), each of said weight sensors generating a real-time signal which is representative of the weight of fuel disposed on the grate adjacent the location of said weight sensor at any given point in time (fig. 3, col. 2, lines 55-61), employing said signal from each of said plurality of weight sensors to provide a visual or other real-time representation of the overall weight or distribution of weight of fuel disposed on the grate at any given time (col. 7, lines 54-61), and including the step of collecting and modulating the output signals from each of said plurality of weight sensors mad producing a further signal suitable for controlling one or more infeeds of fuel onto the grate as a function of the sensed distribution of fuel weight over the lo top surface of the grate (abstract), said signal from each of said plurality of weight sensors is

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3749

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lautenschlager et al (5398623). Lautenschlager et al (5398623) discloses the steps of disposing a plurality of weight sensors in association with each of the at least first and second support members, said weight sensors being located at spaced apart locations along said support members, remotely from the grate (15, fig. 3), each of said weight sensors generating a real-time signal which is representative of the weight of fuel disposed on the grate adjacent the location of said weight sensor at any given point in time (fig. 3, col. 2, lines 55-61). Lautenschlager et al (5398623) discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of said plurality of weight sensors comprises strain gages or load cells or combinations thereof. At the time the invention was made it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have said plurality of weight sensors comprises strain gages or load cells or combinations thereof because applicant has not disclosed that the type of weight sensor provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the sensor of Lautenschlager or the claimed sensors because both perform the same function of determining weight equally well.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Claims 10, 13, and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to furnaces in general: Martin et al (5606924), Finch (4339998), Finet (4430963), Kaski (4621583).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B Rinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:20 -4:20.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571-272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3749

KBR

KENNETH RINEHART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5